IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 836 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA
and
Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

NATUBHAI KHIMABHAI MAKWANA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Criminal Application No. 836 of 2002
MS KRUTI J VORA for Petitioner No. 1
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1
..... for Respondent No. 2-4
MR BS PATEL for Respondent No. 5-9
MRS RANJAN B PATEL for Respondent No. 5-9

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA
and
MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

Date of decision: 23/04/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA)

#. In pursuance of the order dated 29.3.2003 all the parties including P.I., Shri Solanki are present through their respective learned counsel.

#. Shri Pancholi, learned APP has filed detailed affidavit of P.I., Shri J.J.Solanki of Padra Police Station, Vadodara. It is clear from the affidavit as well as the statement made at the Bar by learned APP, Shri Pancholi on the instruction from Shri Solanki, P.I. that the police is making its sincere efforts to find out the whereabouts of missing boy-Sanjay aged 9 years. They also assured this court that they will continue their efforts and as and when they are able to find out the missing boy, then he will be produced before the proper person at the earliest.

#. In view of the above, Ms.Vora, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has not pressed this petition, at this stage. Accordingly, it is disposed of. Rule is discharged.

(B.J.Shethna, J.)

(A.L.Dave, J.)

*Pvv